Amy Hunley
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
04/15/2019 4:53PM
BY: BACOSTA
DEPUTY

DEPUTY Roy Herrera (AZ Bar# 032901) 1 Case No.: S0200CV201900138 herrerar@ballardspahr.com HON. DAVID THORN 2 Daniel A. Arellano (AZ Bar# 032304) arellanod@ballardspahr.com 3 Ballard Spahr LLP 1 East Washington Street, Suite 2300 4 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2555 Telephone: 602.798,5400 5 Facsimile: 602.798.5595 Attorneys for Petitioner 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE 9 MARY JENEA SANCHEZ, an individual, Case No. 10 Petitioner, VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR 11 VS. DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 12 BRENDA AGUILAR, in her official capacity as the City Clerk of the City of Douglas; and (Challenge to Legal Sufficiency of Recall 13 DAVID W. STEVENS, in his official capacity Petition Pursuant to A.R.S. § 19-208.04) as the County Recorder for Cochise County. 14 Respondents. 15 TANYA C. DUARTE, an individual, 16 Real Party in Interest. 17 18 19 Petitioner brings this action for declaratory and injunctive relief, and hereby alleges as 20 follows: 21 INTRODUCTION This action challenges the legal sufficiency of the recall petition bearing the City 22 1. of Douglas serial number RCM0511 (the "Recall Petition"), which seeks an election to recall 23 24 Robert Uribe, the Mayor of the City of Douglas. 25 2. The Recall Petition and its constituent petition sheets and signatures do not 26 comply with all applicable provisions of law. Specifically: 27 The official text of the recall, which consists of the date-and-time stamped copy a. 28 of the petition serial number application, was not attached to the Recall Petition signature sheets

Phoenix, AZ 85004-2555 Telephone: 602.798.5400

Phoenix, AZ 85004-2555 Telephone: 602.798.5400

while in circulation, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 19-202.01(D) and -203(D).

- b. A copy of the petition form was not submitted to the City Clerk at the time the serial number application was filed, in violation of A.R.S. § 19-202.01(B)–(C).
- c. The text of the general statement stating the grounds of the demand of the recall contained false and misleading statements, in violation of A.R.S. § 19-203(A) and the Arizona Supreme Court's holding in *Molera v. Reagan*, 428 P.3d 490 (Ariz. 2018).

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 3. Petitioner Mary Jenea Sanchez is a citizen, resident, and qualified elector of the City of Douglas.
- 4. Respondent Brenda Aguilar is the City Clerk for the City of Douglas and is named solely in her official capacity. The City Clerk serves as the filing officer for all petitions seeking the recall of elected officers in the City of Douglas, and is responsible for issuing recall petition serial numbers, reviewing filed recall petition sheets and signatures for facial errors and deficiencies, and certifying the final disposition of recall petitions. *See* A.R.S. §§ 19-202.01(C), -203(A)(3), -208.01, -208.03, 121.01.
- 5. Respondent David W. Stevens is the County Recorder for Cochise County and is named solely in his official capacity. The Cochise County Recorder is the public officer responsible for verifying the voter registration status in Cochise County of individuals who sign recall petitions. See A.R.S. § 19-208.02.
- 6. Real Party in Interest Tanya Duarte is an individual who was the designated applicant for the Recall Petition on the petition serial number application filed with the City Clerk.
- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article 6, § 14 of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 12-1801, 12-2021, and 19-208.04.
- 8. Venue for this action lies in Cochise County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(16) and 19-208.04(C).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

9. On or about January 11, 2019, Real Party in Interest filed with the City Clerk an

information and belief, a copy of the recall petition form was not attached to the completed application at the time it was submitted to the City Clerk. The City Clerk thereupon issued the serial number RCM0511 to the Recall Petition.

10. The City Clerk's form for the Application for Recall Petition Serial Number instructed applicants to state in no more than 200 words the grounds for the demand of the recall.

Real Party in Interest stated the following grounds for the recall applicants and the state of the recall applicants.

11. Real Party in Interest stated the following grounds for the recall, among others: "Failure to follow City Charter in regards to accepting agenda requests from three council members as noted in article 2 section 15 of the Douglas Arizona Charter."

Application for Recall Petition Serial Number seeking the recall of Mayor Uribe. Upon

- 12. A true and correct copy of the Application for Recall Petition Serial Number bearing the date and time stamp affixed by the City Clerk is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 13. On or around February 26, 2019, the Committee filed with the City Clerk the Recall Petition, which purportedly contained 801 signatures on 61 petition sheets.
- 14. On April 5, 2019, the City Clerk issued a certification stating that the Recall Petition contained a number of valid signatures that equaled or exceeded the number of valid signatures of qualified electors in the City of Douglas required to permit an election to recall Mayor Uribe.
- 15. The Arizona Legislature has directed that "[c]onstitutional and statutory requirements for recall be strictly construed and that persons using the recall process strictly comply with those constitutional and statutory requirements." A.R.S. § 19-201.01.
- 16. Strict compliance "requires nearly perfect compliance with constitutional and statutory referendum requirements." Comm. for Pres. of Established Neighborhoods v. Riffel, 213 Ariz. 247, 249 ¶ 6 (App. 2006).

Objection No. 1: Failure to Attach Text of Recall to Petition Sheets.

17. Since statehood, proponents of initiative or referendum measures have been required to attach to each petition sheet a copy of the full "title and text" of the law they are seeking to initiate or refer. See Ariz. Const. art. IV, pt. 1, § 1(9); A.R.S. §§ 19-121(A)(3), -121.01(A)(1)(a).

- 18. In 2015, the Legislature extended a similar mandate to recall petitions, prescribing that "the time-and-date-marked [serial number] application . . . constitutes the official copy of the text of the recall and shall be used in all instances as the text of the recall." A.R.S. § 19-202.01(D).
 - 19. In addition, A.R.S. § 19-203(D) states:

The filing officer's time-and-date-marked copy of the application, including the general statement of the grounds for the recall, constitutes the full and correct copy of the recall text and is the only valid copy for circulation for signatures. Signatures that are collected with any copy of the recall text that is not a facsimile of the time-and-date-marked copy with the complete text that is identical to the time-and-date-marked copy issued by the filing officer are invalid.

- 20. Upon the filing of a recall petition, the City Clerk is required to "perform the steps prescribed in § 19-121.01, subsection A." A.R.S. § 19-208.01(A). Section 19-121.01(A)(1)(a) mandates the disqualification of all petition "sheets not attached to a copy of the complete title and text of the measure."
- All signatures contained on petition sheets that were not attached to a copy of the time-and-date stamped serial number application while in circulation are void as a matter of law, and are not eligible for verification or certification by the County Recorder. See A.R.S. § 19-12.01(A)(1)(a), -203(D), -208.01(A).
- 22. Upon information and belief, none of the petition sheets comprising the Recall Petition were attached to a copy of the time-and-date stamped serial number application while in circulation or at the time they were filed with the City Clerk.
- 23. Accordingly, the Recall Petition and the signatures contained therein are legally insufficient, and a recall of Mayor Uribe is not eligible for placement on the election ballot.

Objection No. 2: Failure to Attach Copy of Petition Sheet to Petition Serial Number Application.

- 24. Before circulating a recall petition, a person must obtain a petition serial number from the filing officer. See A.R.S. § 19-202.01
- 25. For a serial number to be properly issued, the completed serial number "application and petition shall be submitted as a single document to" the filing officer. A.R.S.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

121.01(A)(3)(c).

- 27. Upon information and belief, Real Party in Interest did not provide a copy of her petition form to the City Clerk at the time of filing her serial number application.
- 28. Because Real Party in Interest never obtained a valid and properly issued petition serial number, the Recall Petition and the signatures contained therein are legally insufficient, and a recall of Mayor Uribe is not eligible for placement on the election ballot.

Objection No. 3: False and Misleading Statements in Grounds for Recall.

- Arizona law provides that "[a] recall petition shall contain a general statement of 29. not more than two hundred words stating the grounds of the demand for the recall." A.R.S. § 19-203(A).
- The Arizona Supreme Court has stated that "descriptive information included on 30. petition signature sheets" will invalidate the petitions if "it is fraudulent or creates a significant danger of confusion or unfairness." Save Our Vote, Opposing C-03-2012 v. Bennett, 231 Ariz. 145, 152 ¶ 26 (2013).
- In stating the grounds for the recall, Real Party in Interest cited "failure to follow 31. City Charter in regards to accepting agenda requests from three council members as noted in article 2 section 15 of the City of Douglas Arizona Charter."
- Article 2, Section 15 of the Douglas City Charter, dealing with "special 32. meetings," provides, in relevant part:

The Mayor, or Mayor Pro Tempore acting as Mayor, may call, or at the request of three Councilmembers shall call, a special meeting of the Council for a time not earlier than twenty-four hours after written notice is given to all members of the Council or the notice is left at their place of abode.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

33. Mayor Uribe received a request for a special meeting regarding the contrac
renewal for City Manager Jim Russell from Councilman Donald Huish on November 28, 2018
and from Councilwoman Margaret Morales on December 3, 2018.
34. However, it was not until January 8, 2019 that Mayor Uribe received a request
from a third councilperson. Ray Shelton, to schodule the annual to

- from a third councilperson, Ray Shelton, to schedule the special meeting.
- 35. Upon receiving the third request, Mayor Uribe promptly scheduled the special meeting, which was held on January 17, 2019, thus fully complying with the requirements of Article 2, Section 15 of the Douglas City Charter.
- 36. The statement in the Recall Petition's grounds for recall that Mayor Uribe failed to follow Article 2, Section 15 of the Douglas City Charter is therefore false and misleading, creating a significant danger of confusion or unfairness to signers.
- Because Real Party in Interest created a danger of confusion or unfairness to 37. signers in stating the grounds for recall, the Recall Petition and the signatures contained therein are legally insufficient, and a recall of Mayor Uribe is not eligible for placement on the election ballot.

COUNT I Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (A.R.S. §§ 12-1831, et seq.; 12-1803, 19-208.04)

- Petitioner incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the foregoing 38. paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- An actual and justiciable controversy exists regarding the legal sufficiency of the 39. Recall Petition, and a judgment of this Court will end the controversy.
- The Recall Petition fails to comply with applicable law because (a) the date-and-40. time-stamped copy of the petition serial number application was not attached to the Recall Petition signature sheets at the time of their circulation, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 19-202.01(D) and -203(D); (b) a copy of the petition form was not submitted to the City Clerk at the time the serial number application was filed, in violation of A.R.S. § 19-202.01(B)-(C), and (c) the signers of the Recall Petition were misled to believe that Mayor Uribe had violated Article 2, Section 15 of the Douglas City Charter, when in fact no such violation had ever occurred.

41. As a result, the Recall Petition contains fewer than the minimum number of valid signatures required to qualify a recall of Mayor Uribe for placement on the election ballot.

DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner demands relief in the following forms:

- A. A declaration that the Recall Petition is legally insufficient and that a recall of Mayor Uribe may not be certified for placement on the election ballot.
- B. An injunction pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-1801 and 19-208.04 that prohibits the Respondents from certifying or printing any election ballot that includes a measure to recall Mayor Uribe;
- C. An award of Petitioner's attorney's fees against Real Party in Interest pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-348, the private attorney general doctrine, and any other applicable law; and
- D. Such other relief as the Court deems necessary, equitable, proper, or just.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2019.

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

By:/s/Daniel A. Arellano
Roy Herrera
Daniel A. Arellano
1 East Washington Street, Suite 2300
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2555
Attorneys for Petitioner

VERIFICATION

- I, Mary Jenea Sanchez, hereby state as follows:
 - 1. I am a citizen, resident, and qualified elector of Douglas, Arizona and the Petitioner in this action.
 - 2. I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and know the contents thereof.
 - 3. To the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the information contained in the Verified Complaint is true and accurate, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 15th, 2019.

Mary Jenea Sanchez

EXHIBIT A

<u>APPLICATION FOR RECALL PETITION SERIAL NUMBER</u>

RECEIVED

TO: CITY/TOWN CLERK

JAN 1 1 2019

The undersigned intends to circulate and file a recall petition demanding the recall of:

City of Douglas City Clerk's Office

(Name)				
(Title of Office Held)	Douglas			
The grounds of the recall are as follows: (State in not more the	nan 200 worde the grounds of th	e demand)		
Failure to Follow City Charles in regar	ak to accorting	The wale	Requests from t	t L
council Members as noted in activity	2 section 15 of 4	La Cestra a	of Douglas Assem	a
Causing Division amongst the Bodylas C	ity Council Aself a	is well	as amongst 4L	æ
Causing Division amongst the Bodylas Co and the City Council, and city reside	its with the cor	indel, Y	lereby impacti	- i-pe
operation of the city as well as	creating a new	gative (mage for the	Ω.
and lack of trust between our	community and	d Cuty	Admin, Strate	
I hereby make application for the issuance of an official serial (please affix to lower right-hand corner).	number to be printed on each si	ا ide of each sigı	nature sheet of the petition	
Signature of Applicant	Name of Organization (if any)			
Tanua C Duarte	maine or organization (if any)			
Printed Name of Applicant	Address			
Address				
Dondas Az 85607	City	State	Zip	
City State Zip	Telephone Number			
570-227.9420				
Telephone Number	Name of Officer and Title			
	Address			
01111000				
Date of Application 0111112019	City	State	Zip	
6 /9				
Signatures Required	Telephone Number		100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
1112200	Name of Officer and Title			
Deadline for Filing OSIII 2019				
0000	Address			
Serial Number Issued KCIVI ()5				
FOR OFFICE HEE ONLY	City	State	Zip	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

Telephone Number